



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/814,527

03/30/2004

Alpern Robert

RLY 04021.101

6886

58415 7590 05/20/2009
SENNIGER POWERS LLP (ILPS)
100 NORTH BROADWAY
17TH FLOOR
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER

LEVY, NEIL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/20/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/814,527	Applicant(s) ROBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner NEIL LEVY	Art Unit 1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NEIL LEVY. (3) ____.

(2) ATTORNEY JANET HENDRICKSON. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: OF RECORD AS FINALLY REJECTED.

Identification of prior art discussed: OF RECORD AS AT FINAL REJECTION.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: ATTORNEY had expected allowance, but examiner in advisory, did not enter the after final amendment. Examiner pointed out some claim concerns & format, claim identifiers, the question of support for some of the dependent claims,, given the polymers now of claim 1 & of preverntion at claim 41. also of note, the double patenting rejection would be maintained, a Terminal Dislaimer a solution, over the amended claims had they been entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

//NEIL LEVY// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615	5/14/09
--	---------